

Grayson County

Grayson County
Board of Supervisors



Commonwealth
of Virginia

RESOLUTION

RESOLUTION ADOPTING GUIDELINES PURSUANT TO THE PUBLIC PRIVATE EDUCATION FACILITIES AND INFRASTRUCTURE ACT OF 2002

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Grayson County, Virginia (the "Board") is the governing body of Grayson County, Virginia (the "County") and is a responsible public entity under the provisions of the Public Private Education Facilities and Infrastructure Act of 2002, Chapter 22.1, Title 56, Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended (the "Act"); and

WHEREAS, the Act provides an expeditious and efficient procedure for consideration and development of public infrastructure and capital projects in partnership with willing private entities, but does not bind the Board to consider any project; and


WHEREAS, the Act requires that a responsible public entity, prior to requesting or considering any proposal for a qualifying project, must adopt guidelines to guide its discretion in such consideration, and draft guidelines have been presented to the Board for consideration.

NOW THEREFORE, the Board of Supervisors of Grayson County, Virginia, hereby adopts the Guidelines for Implementation of the Public Private Infrastructure Facilities Act of 2002, in the form attached to this Resolution as **Attachment A**, which shall be kept among the papers of the Board and posted to the County's website for public viewing.

Adopted by the Grayson County Board of Supervisors on the 13th day of April, 2023.

This measure was adopted upon the following vote of the Board:

Member	Vote
Michael S. Hash	<u>Aye</u>
John S. Fant	<u>Aye</u>
Kenneth R. Belton	<u>Aye</u>
R. Brantley Ivey	<u>Aye</u>
Tracy A. Anderson	<u>Aye</u>



Stephen A. Boyer, Clerk
Grayson County Board of Supervisors

Grayson County, Virginia

**Guidelines for Implementation of the Public-Private
Education Facilities & Infrastructure Act of 2002**

Adopted April 13th, 2023

Table of Contents

1. Executive Summary of the PPEA Process.	3
2. Introduction.	3
3. Guidelines for Submission.	4
3.1. Unsolicited Proposals.	4
3.2. Solicited proposals.	4
3.3. Consultant Review and Proposal Review Fee.	5
4. Guidelines for Consideration of Proposals.	5
4.1. Choice of Process and Review Team.	5
4.2. Advertisement for Proposals.	6
4.3. Decision to Accept or Consider Unsolicited Proposals.	6
4.4. Affected Jurisdictions.	7
4.5. Conceptual Review.	7
4.6. Detailed Review.	7
5. Proposal Preparation and Contents.	8
5.1. Conceptual Stage.	8
5.2. Detailed Stage.	10
6. Substantive Selection Criteria.	11
7. Additional Posting and Public Notice Requirements.	13
8. Freedom of Information Act Requirements.	14
8.1. General Applicability.	14
8.2. Protection from Mandatory Disclosure for Certain Documents Submitted by Private Entity.	14
8.3. Protection from Mandatory Disclosure for Certain Documents Produced by the Authority.	14
8.4. Documents that May Not Be Withheld.	15
Appendix A—Checklist.	16

Procedural Guidelines

1. Executive Summary of the PPEA Process.

The Public-Private Education Facilities & Infrastructure Act of 2002 (PPEA)¹ is intended to allow a responsible public entity to narrow and channel options through a multi-phase approach. PPEAs may be structured either as “solicited” or “unsolicited.” An unsolicited proposal is for a public-private solution where the public body has made it known that a problem exists that it needs to solve. This is often included in a locality’s comprehensive plan, capital improvements program, or other strategic or long-term planning document. A solicited proposal features release and advertisement of a general request for proposals or invitation for bids, for which creative responses are sought and evaluated. Regardless of whether a proposal is solicited or unsolicited, the general phases are:

1. *Conceptual Phase.* Firms or groups of firms make proposals to the public body for how they would solve the relevant infrastructure problem. An evaluation team is formed to analyze the proposals and meet with the proposers and advise the governing body on how best to proceed.
2. *Detailed Phase.* The public body may—but need not—proceed to the detailed phase with one or more proposers. The selected proposers and the public body’s evaluation team might meet several more times to refine ideas to meet the public body’s needs.
3. *Interim Phase.* Based on the detail phase, the public body may proceed to the interim phase with one or more proposers. During the interim phase, design work, acquisition of real estate interests, arrangement of financing, permitting, and other contingencies, and negotiations of the comprehensive agreement, as appropriate and necessary to the project, begin in earnest. The proposer(s) are typically paid for some of this work on a time-and-material basis.
4. *Comprehensive Phase.* The comprehensive phase is when the “work” of the agreement really happens. Depending on the nature of the project, there may be two sub-phases to the comprehensive phase:
 - a. *Construction Phase.* This is when the physical work occurs.
 - b. *Operations Phase.* This is the long-term operation and maintenance involved in achieving the goals of the project.

Because of the flexibility built into the PPEA process, multiple considerations must be taken into account. PPEA proposals fall into several different types, and contain widely differing scopes of work. The simplest proposals focus on designing and building a project (a “Design-Build PPEA”), in which the contractor may be the designer, builder, both, or a construction-manager-at-risk. More complex projects can also include financing mechanisms that include both public financing and private financing or equity, ongoing operation of a qualifying project by a private partner, and/or maintenance of a qualifying project by a private partner (a “DBFOM PPEA”). They can also be used to define relationships for ongoing, long-term provision of services. Proposals for qualifying projects often also include combinations of these components.

2. Introduction.

The PPEA grants a public entity the authority to create public-private partnerships for the development of a wide range of projects for public use if the public entity determines that there is a need for a

¹ Chapter 22.1, Title 56, Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended.

project and that such a partnership might provide the project to the public in a more timely or cost-effective manner than standard procurement under the Virginia Public Procurement Act (“VPPA”).² It may also incorporate innovative and creative concepts, in project delivery, operation, maintenance, or finance. Grayson County, Virginia (the “County”), is a responsible public entity under the Act, and adopts these guidelines to manage its implementation of the Act in individual situations.

The PPEA is a method of project delivery and incorporates many provisions of the VPPA, including its ethics provisions and many of its general competitive procurement principles. For reference, these Guidelines provide additional information on specific definitions and processes.

In order for a project to come under the PPEA, the project must meet the definition of a “qualifying project.” The Act contains a broad definition of a “qualifying project,” including most types of infrastructure and services projects undertaken by the County.

The County is a “responsible public entity,” and most often will be the responsible public entity under any PPEA project. Among the types of projects relevant to the County that the PPEA permits are:

- Any building or facility that meets a public purpose and is developed or operated by or for any public entity;
- Education facilities, including schools and school facilities;
- Public safety buildings and security systems;
- Water, sewer, solid waste management, and other utilities and infrastructure;
- Recreational facilities;
- Technology designed to deploy wireless broadband;
- Utility and telecommunications and other communications infrastructure;
- Technology infrastructure, services, and applications, including, but not limited to, telecommunications, automated data processing, word processing and management information systems, and related information, equipment, goods and services;
- Any technology, equipment, or infrastructure designed to deploy wireless broadband services to schools, businesses, or residential areas.

However, under some circumstances the County might work with other entities, such as its school division, economic development authority, public service authority, or other political subdivisions of the Commonwealth to form positive partnerships for the community.

3. Guidelines for Submission.

PPEA proposals may either be solicited (i.e., initiated by issuance of a solicitation by the County) or unsolicited (i.e., initiated by a potential private partner in response to a need expressed by the County).

3.1. Unsolicited Proposals.

The PPEA permits the County to receive and evaluate unsolicited proposals from private entities to acquire, design, construct, improve, renovate, expand, equip, maintain, finance, or operate a qualifying project. The County's general policy is to reserve acceptance of unsolicited proposals for cases of truly innovative ideas, because, in other cases, traditional procurement processes are generally viewed as more likely to achieve full and open competition from the bidding community. Additionally, the County discourages unsolicited proposals for capital projects when the County has already paid for design of the qualifying project because such a proposal will duplicate work. Accordingly, the County will likely reject an unsolicited proposal that lacks truly innovative ideas or are for a project wherein the design is substantially underway or completed.

² Chapter 43, Title 2.2, Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended.

3.2. Solicited Proposals.

The County may issue solicitations inviting proposals from private entities to develop, finance, operate, and/or maintain qualifying projects. In such a case the County will set forth in the solicitation the format and supporting information that is required to be submitted, consistent with the provisions of the PPEA. The timeline of advertisement and acceptance of conceptual proposals for a solicited PPEA will be set forth in the solicitation.

The solicitation should specify, but not necessarily be limited to, information and documents that must accompany each proposal and the factors that will be used in evaluating the submitted proposals. The solicitation should be posted in such public areas as are normally used for posting of the County's notices, including the County's website. Notices will also be published in a newspaper or other publications of general circulation and posted on the Commonwealth's electronic procurement site. The solicitation will contain or incorporate by reference other applicable terms and conditions, including any unique capabilities or qualifications that will be required of the private entities submitting proposals. Pre-proposal conferences will be held as deemed appropriate by the County.

3.3. Consultant Review and Proposal Review Fee

The County shall receive an analysis of the proposal from appropriate internal staff or outside advisors or consultants with relevant experience in determining whether to enter into an agreement with the private entity. In the case of unsolicited and competing unsolicited proposals and if so indicated in the solicitation in the case of solicited proposals, fees shall be paid in accordance with the following table, with percentages being of the total cost of the proposed project:

Stage	Percentage	Minimum	Maximum
Conceptual:	1/2 of 1%	\$2,500	\$25,000
Detailed:	1/2 of 1%	\$5,000	\$50,000

Fees shall be submitted with the proposals for each corresponding phase in the form of a cashier's or certified check made payable to the County. The County may elect to refund any portion of fees paid in excess of its direct costs associated with evaluating the proposal. In the event either the initial processing fee of \$2,500 or the additional detailed proposal fee is determined by the county administrator to be insufficient to cover all of the direct costs incurred or expected to be incurred by the County in reviewing the proposal, the proposer may be required to pay the additional amount(s) as specified by the county administrator. Any proposal submitted without payment of the required fee or any failure to pay the additional amount(s) as specified by the county administrator within five days will result in the proposal not being considered. The proposal fee may cover all or part of the initial review process. For example, the County may require a proposal fee in the amount sufficient to cover all anticipated direct costs associated with evaluating the proposal, or the County may require the smaller initial processing fee with an additional proposal fee to be charged should the project proceed beyond the initial review.

4. Guidelines for Consideration of Proposals.

4.1. Choice of Process and Evaluation Team.

Upon deciding to issue a solicitation for a solicited proposal or deciding to accept an unsolicited proposal for consideration and competition, the County must first decide the appropriate process to follow. The PPEA requires the County to choose either to follow a process substantially similar to competitive sealed bidding under VPPA or to competitive negotiation under the VPPA. Competitive sealed bidding is a process in which bids are evaluated primarily on price. The lowest priced responsive (i.e., it will achieve the goal) proposal from a responsible (i.e., the firm has the finances, forces, and

business integrity to carry out the job) proposer will be accepted. Competitive negotiation is a process in which multiple proposals are considered on a combination of factors which may include price, and the County chooses the best value proposal, i.e., the proposal that provides the optimal solution in terms of cost, expertise and capability of the proposer, and overall benefit to the County. The County may choose to use a points-based scoring system or a holistic approach to evaluation of proposals under the competitive negotiation approach.

The County must also choose an evaluation team. The evaluation team will typically be composed of a combination of members of the County's professional staff, one or more members of the Board of Supervisors, and outside consultants unless the Board of Supervisors determines that County staff possess the professional expertise necessary to evaluate the project. The purpose of the evaluation team is to evaluate proposals, meet with proposers, and work to negotiate the scope and form of the project, and finally to make a recommendation to the Board of Supervisors on whether to go forward and with whom.

4.2. Advertisement for Proposals.

The County may issue Requests for Proposals (RFPs) or Invitations for Bids (IFBs) inviting proposals from private entities to develop or operate qualifying projects, for competitive negotiation processes and competitive sealed bidding processes, respectively. The County may use a two-part proposal process consisting of an initial conceptual phase and a detailed phase. An RFP may invite proposers to submit proposals on individual projects identified by the County. In such a case the County should set forth in the RFP the format and supporting information that is required to be submitted, consistent with the provisions of the PPEA. The County may establish anticipated timelines for selecting proposals for the review and selection of solicited proposals.

The solicitation should specify, but not necessarily be limited to, information and documents that must accompany each proposal and the factors that will be used in evaluating the submitted proposals. The solicitation will be posted in such public areas as are normally used for posting of the County's notices, including the County's website. Notices should also be published in a newspaper or other publication of general circulation and advertised in *Virginia Business Opportunities* and posted on the Commonwealth's electronic procurement site (eVA). In addition, solicited proposals should be posted. The solicitation should also contain or incorporate by reference other applicable terms and conditions, including any unique capabilities or qualifications that will be required of the private entities submitting proposals. Pre-proposal conferences may be held as deemed appropriate by the County.

4.3. Decision to Accept or Consider Unsolicited Proposals.

Upon receipt of any unsolicited proposal, or group of proposals, and payment of any required fee by the proposer or proposers, the Board of Supervisors must determine whether to accept the unsolicited proposal for the purpose of publication for competing proposals and conceptual-phase consideration. If the County determines not to accept the proposal and proceed to publication and conceptual-phase consideration, it should return the proposal, together with all fees and accompanying documentation, to the proposer.

If the County chooses to accept an unsolicited proposal for publication and conceptual-phase consideration, within ten business days of acceptance of the unsolicited proposal, it shall post a notice in a public area regularly used by the County for posting of public notices, including the County's website, for a period of not fewer than 45 days. The County shall also publish the same notice for a period of not fewer than 45 days in one or more newspapers or periodicals of general circulation in the jurisdiction to notify any parties that may be interested in submitting competing unsolicited proposals. In addition, the notice should be advertised in *Virginia Business Opportunities* and on the Commonwealth's electronic procurement website (eVA). The notice should state that the County (i) has received an

unsolicited proposal under the PPEA, (ii) intends to evaluate the proposal, (iii) may negotiate an interim or comprehensive agreement with the proposer based on the proposal, and (iv) will receive for simultaneous consideration any competing proposals that comply with the procedures adopted by the County and the PPEA. The notice also shall summarize the proposed qualifying project or projects, and identify their proposed locations.

To ensure that sufficient information is available upon which to base the development of a serious competing proposal, representatives of the County familiar with the unsolicited proposal and the guidelines established by the PPEA shall be made available to respond to inquiries and meet with private entities that are considering the submission of a competing proposal.

4.4. Affected Jurisdictions.

Any private entity requesting approval from or submitting a conceptual or detailed proposal to the County must provide each affected jurisdiction—those localities that will not be part of the comprehensive agreement, but in whose jurisdictions some portion of the project will occur—with a copy of the private entity's request or proposal by certified mail, express delivery, or hand delivery. Affected jurisdictions that are not "responsible public entities" under the proposed qualifying project shall have 60 days from the receipt of the request or proposal to submit written comments to the County and to indicate whether the proposed qualifying project is compatible with the (i) local comprehensive plan, (ii) local infrastructure development plans, or (iii) capital improvements budget or other government spending plan. Comments received within the 60-day period shall be given consideration by the County, and no negative inference shall be drawn from the absence of comment by an affected jurisdiction.

4.5. Conceptual Review.

Only proposals complying with the requirements of the PPEA that contain sufficient information for a meaningful evaluation and that are provided in an appropriate format shall be considered by the County for further review at the conceptual stage. Formatting suggestions for proposals at the conceptual stage are found in Section 5.

After reviewing the original proposal and any competing proposals submitted during the notice period, the County may determine:

- not to proceed further with any proposal;
- to proceed to the detailed phase of review with the original proposal;
- to proceed to the detailed phase with a competing proposal;
- to proceed to the detailed phase with multiple proposals; or
- to request modifications or amendments to any proposals.

In the event that more than one proposal will be considered in the detailed phase of review, the County will consider whether the unsuccessful proposer should be reimbursed for costs incurred in the detailed phase of review, and such reasonable costs may be assessed to the successful proposer in the comprehensive agreement.

Discussions between the County and private entities about the need for infrastructure improvements shall not limit the ability of the County to later determine to use standard procurement procedures to meet its infrastructure needs. The County retains the right to reject any proposal at any time prior to the execution of an interim or comprehensive agreement. If the County rejects a proposal initiated by a private entity that purports to develop specific cost savings, the County shall specify the basis for the

rejection.

4.6. Detailed Review.

Review at the detailed state will be specifically tailored to the project and the proposal. Formatting suggestions for proposals at the conceptual stage are found in Section 5.

5. Proposal Preparation and Contents.

5.1. Conceptual Stage.

The County requires that proposals at the conceptual stage contain information in the following areas: (i) qualifications and experience, (ii) project characteristics, (iii) project financing, (iv) anticipated public support or opposition, or both, (v) project benefit and compatibility and (vi) any additional information as the County may reasonably request to comply with the requirements of the PPEA. Suggestions for information to be included in proposals at this stage include the items listed below. Not every proposal will include all of these items, nor will this list be sufficient for all proposals. The list is to be used flexibly as a checklist for basic information necessary to review a proposal.

1. Qualifications & Experience:

- a. Identify the legal structure of the firm or consortium of firms making the proposal. Identify the organizational structure for the project, the management approach and how each partner and major subcontractor in the structure fits into the overall team.
- b. Describe the experience of the firm or consortium of firms making the proposal and the key principals involved in the proposed project including experience with projects of comparable size and complexity. Describe the length of time in business, business experience, public sector experience and other engagements of the firm or consortium of firms. Include the identity of any firms that will provide design, construction and completion guarantees and warranties, and a description of such guarantees and warranties.
- c. Provide the names, addresses, and telephone numbers of persons within the firm or consortium of firms who may be contacted for further information.
- d. Provide a current or most recently audited financial statement of the firm or firms and each partner with an equity interest of twenty percent or greater.
- e. Identify any persons known to the proposer who would be obligated to disqualify themselves from participation in any transaction arising from or in connection to the project pursuant to the Virginia State and Local Government Conflict of Interests Act, Chapter 31 (§§ 2.2-3100 et seq.) of Title 2.2 (e.g., County employees).

2. Project Characteristics:

- a. Provide a description of the project, including the conceptual design. Describe the proposed project in sufficient detail so that type and intent of the project, the location, and the communities that may be affected are clearly identified.
- b. Identify and fully describe any work to be performed by the County.

- c. Include a list of all federal, state, and local permits and approvals required for the project and a schedule for obtaining such permits and approvals.
- d. Identify any anticipated adverse social, economic, and environmental impacts of the project. Specify the strategies or actions to mitigate known impacts of the project.
- e. Identify the projected positive social, economic, and environmental impacts of the project.
- f. Identify the proposed schedule for the work on the project, including the estimated time for completion.
- g. Propose allocation of risk and liability for work completed beyond the agreement's completion date, and assurances for timely completion of the project.
- h. State assumptions related to ownership, legal liability, law enforcement, and operation of the project and the existence of any restrictions on the County's use of the project.
- i. Provide information relative to phased or partial openings of the proposed project prior to completion of the entire work.
- j. List any other assumptions relied on for the project to be successful.
- k. List any contingencies that must occur for the project to be successful.

3. Project Financing

- a. Provide a preliminary estimate and estimating methodology of the cost of the work by phase, segment, or both.
- b. Submit a plan for the development, financing, and operation of the project showing the anticipated schedule on which funds will be required. Describe the anticipated costs of and proposed sources and uses for such funds including any anticipated debt service costs. The operational plan should include appropriate staffing levels and associated costs. Include supporting due diligence studies, analyses, or reports.
- c. Include a list and discussion of assumptions underlying all major elements of the plan. Assumptions should include all significant fees associated with financing given the recommended financing approach. In addition complete disclosure of interest rate assumptions should be included. Any ongoing operational fees, if applicable, should also be disclosed as well as any assumptions with regard to increases in such fees.
- d. Identify the proposed risk factors and methods for dealing with these factors.
- e. Identify any local, state, or federal resources that the proposer contemplates requesting for the project. Describe the total commitment, if any, expected from governmental sources and the timing of any anticipated commitment. Such disclosure should include any direct or indirect guarantees or pledges of the County's credit or revenue.
- f. Identify the amounts and the terms and conditions for any revenue sources.
- g. Identify any aspect of the project that could disqualify the project from obtaining tax-exempt financing.

4. Operations and Maintenance

- a. If the proposal includes ongoing maintenance and operation by the private partner, provide a description of tasks the private partner may undertake.
- b. If the proposal includes ongoing maintenance and operation by the private partner, provide a description of major overhauls and life-cycle cost factors.

5. Project Benefit and Compatibility

- a. Identify who will benefit from the project, how they will benefit, and how the project will benefit the overall community, region, or state.
- b. Identify any anticipated public support or opposition, as well as any anticipated government support or opposition, for the project.
- c. Explain the strategy and plans that will be carried out to involve and inform the general public, business community, and governmental agencies in areas affected by the project.
- d. Describe the anticipated significant benefits to the community, region or state, including anticipated benefits to the economic condition of the County and whether the project is critical to attracting or maintaining competitive industries and businesses to the County or the surrounding region.
- e. Describe compatibility with the local comprehensive plan, local infrastructure development plans, the capital improvements budget, or other government spending plan.
- f. Provide a statement setting forth participation efforts that are intended to be undertaken in connection with this project with regard to the following types of businesses: (i) minority-owned businesses, (ii) woman-owned businesses, and (iii) small businesses.

5.2. Detailed Stage.

Detailed stage proposals and the procedures to evaluate them will differ significantly based on the type and scope of the project. However, issues to be addressed at the detailed stage include:

1. A topographical map (1:2,000 or other appropriate scale) depicting the location of the proposed project;
2. A list of public utility facilities, if any, that will be crossed by the qualifying project and a statement of the plans of the proposer to accommodate such crossings;
3. A statement and strategy setting out the plans for securing all necessary property;
4. A detailed listing of all firms that will provide specific design, construction and completion guarantees and warranties, and a brief description of such guarantees and warranties;
5. A total life-cycle cost specifying methodology and assumptions of the project or projects and the proposed project start date. Include anticipated commitment of all parties; equity, debt, and other financing mechanisms; and a schedule of project revenues and project costs. The life-cycle cost analysis should include, but not be limited to, a detailed analysis of the projected return, rate of return, or both, expected useful life of facility, and estimated annual operating expenses;

6. A detailed discussion of assumptions about user fees or rates, and usage of the project or projects;
7. Identification of any known government support or opposition, or general public support or opposition for the project. Government or public support should be demonstrated through resolution of official bodies, minutes of meetings, letters, or other official communications;
8. Demonstration of consistency with appropriate local comprehensive or infrastructure development plans or indication of the steps required for acceptance into such plans;
9. Explanation of how the proposed project would impact local development plans of each affected jurisdiction;
10. Identification of the executive management and the officers and directors of the firm or firms submitting the proposal. In addition, identification of any known conflicts of interest or other disabilities that may impact the County's consideration of the proposal, including the identification of any persons known to the proposer who would be obligated to disqualify themselves from participation in any transaction arising from or in connection to the project pursuant to the Virginia State and Local Government Conflict of Interest Act, Chapter 31 (§§ 2.2-3100 et seq.) of Title 2.2;
11. Additional material and information as the County may reasonably request.

6. Substantive Selection Criteria.

There are several factors that the County may wish to consider when evaluating and selecting a proposal under the PPEA. The following are some of the factors that may be considered by the County in the evaluation and selection of PPEA proposals; however, the County reserves the right to reject any request or proposal at any time for any reason whatsoever.

A. Qualifications and Experience

Factors to be considered in any phase of the County's review to determine whether the proposer possesses the requisite qualifications and experience include:

1. Experience with similar projects;
2. Demonstration of ability to perform work;
3. Leadership structure;
4. Project manager's experience;
5. Management approach;
6. Financial condition; and
7. Project ownership.

B. Project Characteristics

Factors to be considered in determining the project characteristics include:

1. Project definition;
2. Proposed project schedule;
3. Operation of the project;

4. Technology; technical feasibility;
5. Conformity to laws, regulations, and standards;
6. Environmental impacts;
7. Condemnation impacts;
8. State and local permits; and
9. Maintenance of the project (and life-cycle costs).

C. Project Financing

Factors to be considered in determining whether the proposed project financing allows adequate access to the necessary capital to finance the project include:

1. Cost and cost benefit to the County;
2. Financing and the impact on the debt burden of the County;
3. Financial plan, including the degree to which the proposer has conducted due diligence investigation and analysis of the proposed financial plan and the results of any such inquiries or studies;
4. Opportunity costs assessment;
5. Estimated cost;
6. Life-cycle cost analysis;
7. The identity, credit history, past performance of any third party that will provide financing for the project and the nature and timing of its commitment, as applicable; and
8. Such other items as the County deems appropriate.

In the event the project is financed through the issuance of obligations that are deemed to be tax-supported by any locality, or if financing such a project may impact the County's or any locality's debt rating or financial position, the County may select its own finance team, source, and financing vehicle.

D. Project Benefit and Compatibility

Factors to be considered in determining the proposed project's compatibility with the appropriate local or regional comprehensive or development plans include:

1. Community benefits;
2. Community support or opposition, or both;
3. Public involvement strategy;
4. Compatibility with existing and planned facilities; and
5. Compatibility with local, regional, and state economic development efforts.

E. Other Factors

Other factors that may be considered by the County in the evaluation and selection of PPEA proposals include:

1. The proposed cost of the qualifying project;

2. The general reputation, industry experience, and financial capacity of the private entity;
3. The proposed design of the qualifying project;
4. The eligibility of the project for accelerated documentation, review, and selection;
5. Local citizen and government comments;
6. Benefits to the public, including financial and nonfinancial;
7. The private entity's compliance with a minority business enterprise participation plan or good faith effort to comply with the goals of such plan;
8. The private entity's plans to employ local contractors and residents;
9. The recommendation of a committee of representatives of members of the County and the appropriating body which may be established to provide advisory oversight for the project; and
10. Other criteria that the County deems appropriate.

7. Additional Posting and Public Notice Requirements.

7.1. In addition to the posting requirements set forth elsewhere in these Guidelines and the PPEA, the County shall hold a public hearing on the proposals during the proposed review process, but not later than 30 days prior to entering into an interim or comprehensive agreement.

7.2. Once the negotiation phase for the development of an interim or a comprehensive agreement is complete and a decision to award has been made by the County, the County shall post the proposed agreement in the following manner: on the County's website or by publication in a newspaper of general circulation in the area in which the contract work is to be performed, to include a summary of the proposals and the location where copies of the proposals are available for public inspection. Posting may also be on the Department of General Services' web-based electronic procurement program commonly known as "eVA," in order to provide the public with centralized visibility and access to the Commonwealth's procurement opportunities.

In addition to the posting requirements, at least one copy of the proposals shall be made available for public inspection. Trade secrets, financial records, or other records of the private entity excluded from disclosure under the provisions of subdivision II of § 2.2-3705.6 shall not be required to be posted, except as otherwise agreed to by the County and the private entity.

Any studies and analyses considered by the County in its review of a proposal shall be disclosed to the appropriating body at some point prior to the execution of an interim or comprehensive agreement.

7.3. Once an interim agreement or a comprehensive agreement has been entered into, the County shall make procurement records available for public inspection, upon request.

Such procurement records shall include documents protected from disclosure during the negotiation phase on the basis that the release of such documents would have adverse effect on the financial interest or bargaining position of the County or private entity.

Such procurement records shall not include (i) trade secrets of the private entity as defined in the Uniform Trade Secrets Act (§§ 59.1-336 et seq.) or (ii) financial records, including balance sheets or financial statements of the private entity that are not generally available to the public through regulatory disclosure or otherwise. However, to

the extent access to procurement records are compelled or protected by a court order, then the County must comply with such order.

8. Freedom of Information Act Requirements.

8.1. General Applicability.

Documents submitted by private entities are generally subject to the Virginia Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA") except that subdivision 11 of Virginia Code § 2.2-3705.6 exempts certain documents from public disclosure. FOIA exemptions, however, are discretionary, and the County may elect to release some or all of the documents except to the extent documents are:

- (a) Trade secrets of the private entity as defined by the Uniform Trade Secrets Act (Va. Code §§ 59.1-336 et seq.);
- (b) Financial records of the private entity that are not generally available to the public through regulatory disclosure or otherwise, including, but not limited to, balance sheets and financial statements; or
- (c) Other information submitted by a private entity, where if the record or document were made public prior to the execution of an interim or comprehensive agreement the financial interest or bargaining position of the public or private entity would be adversely affected.

Additionally, to the extent access to proposal documents submitted by private entities are compelled or protected from disclosure by a court order, the County must comply with the provisions of such order.

8.2. Protection from Mandatory Disclosure for Certain Documents Submitted by Private Entity.

Before a document of a private entity may be withheld from disclosure, the private entity must make a written request to the County at the time the documents are submitted designating with specificity the documents for which the protection is being sought and a clear statement of the reasons for invoking the protection with reference to one or more of three classes of records listed in Section 8.1.

Upon receipt of a written request for protection of documents, the County shall determine whether the documents contain (i) trade secrets, (ii) financial records, or (iii) other information that would adversely affect the financial interest or bargaining position of the County or private entity in accordance with Section 8.1. The County shall make a written determination of the nature and scope of the protection to be afforded by the County under this subdivision. If the written determination provides less protection than requested by the private entity, the private entity should be afforded an opportunity to withdraw its proposal. Nothing shall prohibit further negotiations of the documents to be afforded protection from release although what may be protected must be limited to the categories of records identified in Section 8.1.

Once a written determination has been made by the County, the documents afforded protection under this subdivision shall continue to be protected from disclosure when in the possession of the County or any affected jurisdiction to which such documents are provided.

If a private entity fails to designate trade secrets, financial records, or other confidential information or proprietary information from protection from disclosure for disclosure, such information, records or documents shall be subject to disclosure under FOIA.

8.3. Protection from Mandatory Disclosure for Certain Documents Produced by the County.

The County may withhold from disclosure memoranda, staff evaluations, or other records prepared by the County, its staff, outside advisors, or consultants exclusively for the evaluation and negotiation of proposals where (i) if such records were made public prior to or after the execution of an interim or comprehensive agreement, the financial interest or bargaining position of the County would be adversely affected, and (ii) the basis for the determination required in clause (i) is documented in writing by the County.

Cost estimates relating to a proposed procurement transaction prepared by or for the County shall not be open to public inspection.

8.4. Documents that May Not Be Withheld:

The County may not withhold the following documents (among others):

- (a) procurement records other than those subject to the written determination of the County;
- (b) information concerning the terms and conditions of any interim or comprehensive agreement, service contract, lease, partnership, or any agreement of any kind entered into by the County and the private entity;
- (c) information concerning the terms and conditions of any financing arrangement that involves the use of public funds; or
- (d) information concerning the performance of any private entity developing or operating a qualifying transportation facility or a qualifying project.

However, to the extent that access to any procurement record or other document or information is compelled or protected by a court order, then the County must comply with such order.

APPENDIX A – CHECKLIST

Submission Requirement	YES	NO	Waived
Qualifications and Experience			
1. Identify the legal structure of the firm or consortium of firms making the proposal. Identify the organizational structure for the project, the management approach and how each partner and major subcontractor in the structure fits into the overall team.			
2. Describe the experience of the firm or consortium of firms making the proposal and the key principals involved in the proposed project including experience with projects of comparable size and complexity. Describe the length of time in business, business experience, public sector experience and other engagements of the firm or consortium of firms. Include the identity of any firms that will provide design, construction and completion guarantees and warranties, and a description of such guarantees and warranties.			
3. Provide the names, addresses, and telephone numbers of persons within the firm or consortium of firms who may be contacted for further information.			
4. Provide a current or most recently audited financial statement of the firm or firms and each partner with an equity interest of twenty percent or greater.			
5. Identify any persons known to the proposer who would be obligated to disqualify themselves from participation in any transaction arising from or in connection to the project pursuant to the Virginia State and Local Government Conflict of Interests Act, Chapter 31 (§ 2.2-3100 et seq.) of Title 2.2.			
Project Characteristics			
6. Provide a description of the project, including the conceptual design. Describe the proposed project in sufficient detail so that type and intent of the project, the location, and the communities that may be affected are clearly identified.			
7. Identify and fully describe any work to be performed by the County.			
8. Include a list of all federal, state, and local permits and approvals required for the project and a schedule for obtaining such permits and approvals.			
9. Identify any anticipated adverse social, economic, and environmental impacts of the project. Specify the strategies or actions to mitigate known impacts of the project.			
10. Identify the projected positive social, economic, and environmental impacts of the project.			

11. Identify the proposed schedule for the work on the project, including the estimated time for completion.			
12. Propose allocation of risk and liability for work completed beyond the agreement's completion date, and assurances for timely completion of the project.			
13. State assumptions related to ownership, legal liability, law enforcement, and operation of the project and the existence of any restrictions on the County's use of the project.			
14. Provide information relative to phased or partial openings of the proposed project prior to completion of the entire work.			
15. List any other assumptions relied on for the project to be successful.			
16. List any contingencies that must occur for the project to be successful.			
Project Financing			
17. Provide a preliminary estimate and estimating methodology of the cost of the work by phase, segment, or both.			
18. Submit a plan for the development, financing, and operation of the project showing the anticipated schedule on which funds will be required. Describe the anticipated costs of and proposed sources and uses for such funds including any anticipated debt service costs. The operational plan should include appropriate staffing levels and associated costs. Include supporting due diligence studies, analyses, or reports.			
19. Include a list and discussion of assumptions underlying all major elements of the plan. Assumptions should include all significant fees associated with financing given the recommended financing approach. In addition complete disclosure of interest rate assumptions should be included. Any ongoing operational fees, if applicable, should also be disclosed as well as any assumptions with regard to increases in such fees.			
20. Identify the proposed risk factors and methods for dealing with these factors.			
21. Identify any local, state, or federal resources that the proposer contemplates requesting for the project. Describe the total commitment, if any, expected from governmental sources and the timing of any anticipated commitment. Such disclosure should include any direct or indirect guarantees or pledges of the County's credit or revenue.			
22. Identify the amounts and the terms and conditions for any revenue sources.			

23. Identify any aspect of the project that could disqualify the project from obtaining tax-exempt financing.			
Project Benefits and Capability			
24. Identify who will benefit from the project, how they will benefit, and how the project will benefit the overall community, region, or state.			
25. Identify any anticipated public support or opposition, as well as any anticipated government support or opposition, for the project.			
26. Explain the strategy and plans that will be carried out to involve and inform the general public, business community, and governmental agencies in areas affected by the project.			
27. Describe the anticipated significant benefits to the community, region or state, including anticipated benefits to the economic condition of the County and whether the project is critical to attracting or maintaining competitive industries and businesses to the County or the surrounding region.			
28. Describe compatibility with the local comprehensive plan, local infrastructure development plans, the capital improvements budget, or other government spending plan.			
29. Provide a statement setting forth participation efforts that are intended to be undertaken in connection with this project with regard to the following types of businesses: (i) minority-owned businesses, (ii) woman-owned businesses, and (iii) small businesses.			
Other			
30. Written request for protection of confidential/proprietary materials, providing justification as to (i) trade secrets, (ii) financial records, or (iii) other information that would otherwise affect the financial interest or bargaining position of the County or private entity.			

Based on Grayson County, Virginia, PPEA Guidelines and Va. Code § 56-575.4(A).

Attach reasons for waivers in separate memorandum.